Received: December 29, 1986; accepted May 10, 1987

# 19F AND 1H NMR STUDY OF FIVE-MEMBERED RING TRIFLONES AND RELATED $\sigma$ -ADDUCTS. LONG RANGE FLUORINE-PROTON SPIN SPIN COUPLING.

Marie-Paule SIMONNIN and François TERRIER Laboratoire de Physicochimie des Solutions, U.A. 403 du CNRS, ENSCP, 11 Rue Pierre & Marie Curie, 75231 Paris Cedex 05 (France)

Bernard DECROIX and Jean MOREL Laboratoire de Chimie des Hétérocycles, Faculté des Sciences de Rouen, 76130 Mont Saint Aignan (France)

#### SUMMARY

Fluorine and proton chemical shifts for various trifluoromethylsulfonyl thiophene, selenophene, furan and N-methylpyrrole derivatives are reported and compared with those for nitro analogs. The <sup>19</sup>F chemical shift of the  $SO_2CF_3$  group is found to be insensitive to its ring position as well as to the nature of the heterocycle. It is also unaffected by the loss of aromaticity and the presence of a negative charge which result from a nucleophilic addition to the ring. In most triflones studied, long range fluorine-proton coupling constants are observed but the proton involved in these couplings depends upon the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  position of the  $SO_2CF_3$  group as well as the nature of the ring heteroatom.

#### INTRODUCTION

In continuation of our studies of the effect of the  $SO_2CF_3$  group [1-3], the strongest neutral electron-withdrawing group known [4-10], on the course of nucleophilic heteroaromatic substitution reactions, we have recently reported the synthesis of the thienyl, selenophenyl and pyrrolyl trifluoromethylsulfones (Id-h), (IId,e,g,h) and (IIId,e) and looked at the kinetics of the reactions of some of these substrates with methoxide ion in methanol [11]. In agreement with known reactivity patterns in these

0022-1139/87/\$3.50

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands

heterocyclic series [12,13], 2,4-disubstituted pyrroles were found to be unreactive while thiophene and selenophene analogs added  $CH_30^{\circ}$  at the unsubstituted  $\alpha$ -position to give  $\sigma$ -adducts (Vg,h) and (VIg,h).Concomitant studies by NMR have allowed a full characterization of (Vg) and (V,h) but not of the other adducts which decompose very rapidly, probably because of methanolysis of the S0<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> group(s) [3].

In the present paper we report and discuss unpublished  $^{19}$ F and  $^{1}$ H NMR data pertaining to the aforementioned species as well as to the trifluoromethyl sulfides (Ia-c) used as precursors in the thiophene series. For purpose of comparison, data for the trifluoromethylsulfonylfurans (IVd,e) and for various nitro derivatives are also presented.



#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the 2- and 3- monosubstituted derivatives in  $Me_2SO-d_6$  are of the AMX type and assignments of the various protons were deduced from the observed coupling constants. In the case of the 2,4-disubstituted derivatives, the proton spectra are of the AB type and the signals were attributed on the basis of the additivity of substituent effects on chemical shifts [14]. The observation of the satellite lines due to the <sup>77</sup>Se isotope in the spectra of the 2,4-disubstituted selenophenes (IIg) and (IIk) confirmed the assignments deduced from additivity arguments [15].

The spectra of most aforementioned compounds show that at least one of the ring protons is coupled with the three fluorine nuclei of the  $SO_2CF_3$  or SCF\_3 group. The recognition of the proton involved in the  $J_{\rm FH}$ 

440

coupling constant was deduced from the previous assignments. Moreover, when X=N-Me, a coupling between the methyl and ring protons was observed. Irradiation of the N-methyl signal allowed a distinction between the  $J_{FH}$  and  $J_{NMe-H}$  couplings to be made. All the results are summarized in Tables 1-4.

### <sup>19</sup>F Chemical Shifts and J<sub>HF</sub> Coupling Constants

Comparison of the fluorine chemical shifts for the 2- and 3-trifluoromethylthienyl sulfides (Ia) and (Ib) in Table 1 reveals that a SCF<sub>3</sub> group resonates at higher field when it is located in the 2- rather than in the 3- position. This observation was used to assign the two SCF<sub>3</sub> resonances of the 2,4-SCF<sub>3</sub> derivative (Ic). In contrast, inspection of Tables 1-4 shows that not only the ring position but also the nature of the heteroatom has essentially no effect on the fluorine chemical shift of a SO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> group. More significantly, we note that the formation of a negatively charged  $\sigma$ -adduct has no appreciable influence on the shift values, e.g.  $\delta_{\rm F} = -78.2$  ppm for (Vg) and -79.0 ppm for (Vh). This result probably reflects the fact that a SO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> group is a much less effective conjugating group than a sulfur one.

Going from a SCF<sub>3</sub> to a  $SO_2CF_3$  group results in a high-field shift of the fluorine resonance, which is in the range 34-36 ppm. Such values compare well with data previously reported in benzene series by Sheppard <u>et al</u>. [4,5] and Yagupolskii <u>et al</u>. [16,17]. Our data for (Ia) and (Id) are also in agreement with results reported by Haas and Niemann [18] using  $C_6F_6$  as the solvent.

For 2-trifluoromethylthiothiophene (Ia), a weak coupling between the fluorine nuclei and the  $H_3$  proton is observed while for the 3-SCF<sub>3</sub> isomer (Ib), there is an interaction with both  $H_2$  and  $H_4$  in adjacent positions. It follows that the fluorine signal is broader when the SCF<sub>3</sub> group is in the 3-position, providing support for our assignment of the fluorine resonances observed in the disubstituted derivative (Ic).

In all triflones studied, a long range  ${}^{5}J_{FH}$  coupling constant is observed between the fluorine nuclei of a  $3-SO_2CF_3$  substituent and the  $H_4$  proton in the adjacent  $\beta$ -position; no coupling involving  $H_2$  was resolved. Similarly, the spectra of  $2-SO_2CF_3$ -thiophene and -selenophene (Id) and (IId) indicate the existence of a long range  ${}^{5}J_{FH}$  coupling constant involving the  $H_3$  proton in the  $\beta$ -position. However, such a coupling is not observed in the

o-Adducts NMR Data<sup>a</sup> for Thiophene Derivatives and Related

												ſ			
QNNC	Y	z	$^{\delta}_{19_{\rm F}}$	<sup>б</sup> н <sub>2</sub>	° <sup>h</sup> 3	б <sub>Н</sub> 4	δ <sub>H5</sub>	J <sub>24</sub>	J <sub>25</sub>	J <sub>34</sub>	J <sub>35</sub>	J <sub>45</sub>	J <sub>FH2</sub>	J <sub>FH3</sub>	J <sub>FH</sub>
	SCF3	н	-44.5	1	7.60	7.23	8.01	1	1	3.7	1.3	5.4	1	0.1	п.о.
р	, Н	scr <sub>3</sub>	-42.5	8.16	1	7.28	7.78	1.3	3.05	1	ı	5.0	0.18	1	0.45
υ υ	SCF <sub>3</sub>	scr <sub>3</sub>	Y:-44.1 Z:-42.6	1	7.85	1	8.64	1	1	1	1.5	,	I	n.r.	J
q	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	Н	-78.7	1	8.25	7.52	8.60	1	1	4.0	1.4	4.9	ı	0.26	n.o.
e	, H	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	-78.8	9.03	1	7.63	8.05	1.4	2.95	1	ł	5.2	n.r.	1	0.45
 H	$so_2 cF_3$	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	Y:-78.0 Z:-78.1		8.93	1	9.76	·	1	1	1.7	1	1	п.г.	J
	$so_2 cF_3$	NO2	-77.8	J	8.83	1	9.51	1	1	ı	1.8	,	1	0.28	1
<u>ج</u>	NO2	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	-77-9	1	8.63	1	9.27	ı	1	1	1.95	1	ł	0.4	J
٩. <sub>1</sub>	NO2	H	1	ŧ	8.08	7.18	8.01	1	ŀ	4.0	1.5	5.7	I	1	I
4.[	Н	NO2	J	8.76	1	7.65	7.74	1.2	3.4	1	i	5.3	ł	1	ł
υy	NO2	NO <sub>2</sub>	1	i	8.58	1	9.05	1	ł	1	2.1	,	1	1	J
 60	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	NO2	-78.2	1	7.47	1	6.50	1	ł	1		1	I	1	١
	NO2	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	-79.0	1	7.54	I	6.13	1	'	1	0.8	,	1	0.36	ı
alues	in ppm	relativ	ve to exte	ernal C	FC1, ( <sup>15</sup>	F) and	intern	al Me	4Si (1	H). Pc	sitive	s o v	alues a	re in t	he

direction of increasing frequency. J values in hertz. Solvent Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>. Numbering used : ٦

b Ref. 24. c Ref. 25.

NMR data <sup>a</sup> for selenophene derivatives

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	J <sub>FH</sub>	n.o.	0.55	I	ı	ı	ı	I
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J <sub>FH3</sub>	0.2	ı	0.3	0.44	ŀ	1	ı
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<sup>J</sup> SeH <sub>5</sub>	46.0	ł	37.9	I	45.0	43.25	37.7
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J <sub>SeH2</sub>	I	ı	I	ł	I	38.2	I
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J45	5.3	5.7	I	1	5.8	5.85	1
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J <sub>35</sub>	1.4	ł	1.9	2.0	1.6	ł	2.17
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J <sub>34</sub>	4.1	1	1	1	4.3	I	1
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J <sub>25</sub>	t	2.45	I	I	I	2.75	1
$ \begin{array}{lcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J <sub>24</sub>	1	1.45	ı	1	I	1.56	I
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	° <sup>6</sup> H5	9.23	8.61	10.00	9.79	8.64	8.34	9.51
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<sup>б</sup> н <sub>4</sub>	7.72	7.79	1	I	7.42	7.98	1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	۴ <sub>ط</sub>	8.45	I	8.95	8.64	8.26	1	8.72
COMPOUND         Y         Z $6_{1}9_{F}$ IId $SO_2 CF_3$ H $-78.5$ IIe         H $sO_2 CF_3$ $-78.6$ IIf         SO_2 CF_3 $NO_2$ $-77.7$ III         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.7$ III         NO2 $SO_2 CF_3$ $-77.8$ III         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III Ib         NO2 $H$ $-77.8$ III Ib         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III Ib         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III         NO2 $NO_2$ $-77.8$ III         NO2 $-77.8$ $-77.8$	$^{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}_2}$	I	9.70	I	ı	ı	9.41	I
COMPOUNDYZIId $SO_2CF_3$ HIIeH $SO_2CF_3$ IIE $NO_2$ $NO_2$ IIIh $NO_2$ $SO_2CF_3$ IIIb $NO_2$ HIIIb $NO_2$ HIIIb $NO_2$ HIIIb $NO_2$ HIIIb $NO_2$ HIIIb $NO_2$ HIIIb $NO_2$ $NO_2$	$^{\delta}_{19_{\rm F}}$	-78.5	-78.6	-77.7	-77.8	ı	i	ı
COMPOUND Y IId SO <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> IIE H IIB SO <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> III NO <sub>2</sub> III <sup>b</sup> NO <sub>2</sub> III <sup>b</sup> H III <sup>b</sup> MO <sub>2</sub>	Z	н	so2cF3	NO2	$so_2 cF_3$	Н	NO2	NO2
COMPOUND IId IIe IIE IIh IIi <sup>b</sup> IIi <sup>b</sup> IIi <sup>b</sup>	¥	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	н	$so_2 cF_3$	NO2	NO2	Н	NO2
	COMPOUND	PII	IIe	IIg	ЧП	IIi <sup>b</sup>	ulj <sup>b</sup>	IIk <sup>c</sup>

tion of increasing frequency. J values in Hertz. Solvent Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>. Numbering used is the same as in Table 1. <sup>a</sup>  $\delta$  values in ppm relative to external CFCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>19</sup>F) and internal Me<sub>4</sub>Si (<sup>1</sup>H). Positive  $\delta$  values are in the direc-

b Ref. 15.

c Ref. 26.

~	)
BI.F	
A L	

NMR Data <sup>a</sup> for N-methyl pyrrole derivatives

		_			_	
<sup>J</sup> FH <sub>3</sub>	1	1	1	0.3	1	1
$^{J}_{FH_4}$	١	0.3	ı	١	١	1
J <sub>NMe-H5</sub>	0.5	0.3	0.55	0.57	t	1
J <sub>NMe-H2</sub>	l	0.5	Į	ı	ł	ł
J45	2.55	3.05	1	1	2.6	3.15
J <sub>35</sub>	1.85	1	2.05	2.3	2.0	1
J <sub>34</sub>	4.3	ı	I	ı	4.4	1
J <sub>25</sub>	1	2.15	I	ł	I	2.35
J <sub>24</sub>	1	1.8	ł	1	1	1.9
δ <sub>NMe</sub>	3.89	3.79	3.95	4.06	3.96	3.72
<sup>б</sup> н <sub>5</sub>	7.63	7.13	8.71	8.45	7.31	6.89
$^{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}_4}$	6.44	6.60	I	I	6.25	6.67
<sup>бн3</sup>	7.29	1	7.93	7.80	7.22	1
$^{\delta}_{\mathrm{H2}}$	1	7.93	ı	I	1	7.93
<sup>6</sup> 19F	-79.4	-80.1	-78.3	-79.3	1	1
2	H	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	NO,	$so_2 cF_3$	H	°0N
Y	so <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>		so <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	NO <sup>2</sup>	vo,	' <b>#</b>
COMPOUND	PIII	IIIe	IIIg	HIII	iIIi	i III
<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	+			_		

NMR Data <sup>a</sup> for furan derivatives

<sup>J</sup> FH5	0.24	1	1
<sup>J</sup> FH <sub>4</sub>	I	0.45	,
<sup>J</sup> 45	1.7	1.05	5
J <sub>35</sub>	6.0	1	-
J34	3.8	1	4
J <sub>25</sub>	I	1.55	1
J <sub>24</sub>	1	0.9	1
° <sub>H5</sub>	8.49	8.19	7.98
δ <sub>H</sub> 4	7.06	7.14	6.80
<sup>5</sup> н3	8.08	1	7.58
δ <sub>H2</sub>	I	9.08	1
$^{\delta}19_{\rm F}$	-78.5	-79.5	I
Z	H	so <sub>2</sub> cF <sub>3</sub>	n:
Υ	$so_2 cF_3$	Н	NO2
COMPOUND	PVI	IVe	IVi <sup>b</sup>

used is the same as in Table 1.  $\delta$  values in ppm relative to external CFCl3 (<sup>19</sup>F) and internal Me $_4$ Si (<sup>1</sup>H). Positive  $\delta$  values are in the direction of increasing frequency. J values in Hertz. Solvent Ne<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>. Numbering Ref. 27. p, 67

444

furan derivative (IVd). Instead, the spectra reveal a  ${}^{6}J_{FH}$  coupling with  $H_5$ , maybe because of a different conformation of the  $2-SO_2CF_3$  group due to repulsion between a sulfonyl oxygen and the ring oxygen. It is also to be noted that  $J_{FH}$  coupling constants involving  $H_2$  or  $H_5$  are not resolved in the pyrrole derivatives (Table 4); however the signals are broadened, but this can be due to the quadrupolar relaxation of the nitrogen nucleus.

## <sup>1</sup>H Chemical Shifts and $J_{HH}$ Coupling Constants

The influence of the  $SO_2CF_3$  and  $NO_2$  groups on the <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts of the various 2- and 3-substituted heterocycles is illustrated in Table 5. Due to the importance of the solvent effects on <sup>1</sup>H shifts in these classes of compounds [15,19,20], all data used to calculate the  $\Delta\delta$  values were obtained from experiments carried out in Me<sub>2</sub>SO solutions. Relevant data for 3-nitrofuran are however lacking in the literature.

Inspection of Table 5 reveals that the influence of a 2-SO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> group is much greater than that of a 2-NO<sub>2</sub> group, in agreement with the Taft and Hammett  $\sigma$  values reported for these substituents [5]. However, for both substituents, changes in the  $\Delta\delta$  values decrease along the same sequence  $\Delta\delta_3 > \Delta\delta_5 > \Delta\delta_4$ . This further confirms that the 3-, 4- and 5- positions of 2-substituted five-membered ring heterocycles behave as <u>ortho-</u>, <u>meta-</u> and <u>para-like</u> positions in aromatic derivatives. Of interest is that substituent effects for H<sub>5</sub> decrease on going from thiophene to selenophene to furan derivatives. That this sequence parallels the order of decreasing aromaticity [21] suggests that resonance effects are predominant in determining the influence of the substituents in this position. This conclusion is in agreement with the interpretation of substituent effects on <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts observed in these three heterocycles [22].

The situation which prevails in the 3-substituted derivatives is less clearcut since it is only in the 2- and 5-positions that a  $SO_2CF_3$  group has a larger effect than a  $NO_2$  group. We note, however, that the  $\Delta\delta$  values for the 2-position are very important and of the order of those observed for the 3-position in the 2-substituted isomers.

In a general way, the  $J_{\rm HH}$  coupling constants increase on introduction of an electron-withdrawing substituent in the heteroaromatic rings. This trend, which is especially apparent from Tables 1 and 2, is perhaps not surprising since it has been shown in selenophene series [15] that coupling

Substituent effects on  $^1\mathrm{H}$  chemical shifts for 2- and 3-monosubstituted heterocycles  $^a$ 

3 4		; = X	S		X = Se			X = NMe		X	0 =	
Y → X > 5	۵ <sup>6</sup> 3	Δδ4	Δδ <sub>5</sub>	€ŝ∆	$\Delta \delta_4$	۵۵5	$\Delta \delta_3$	Δ84	Δδ5	Δδ3	$\Delta \delta_{4}$	Δδ5
$Y = SO_2 CF_3$	1.14	0.41	1.13	1.10	0.37	1.06	1.33	0.48	0.96	1.60	0.58	0.83
$Y = NO_2$	0.97	0.07	0.54	0.91	0.07	0.47	1.26	0.29	0.64	1.10	0.32	0.32
₹ Ţ										_		
<sup>5</sup> ⟨⟨×⟩⟩ <sup>2</sup>	۵ŝ2	Δ64	۵6 <b>5</b>	$\Delta\delta_2$	۵٤4	۵۵5	$\Delta \delta_2$	$\Delta \delta_4$	Δδ5	Δδ2	Δδ4	۵65
$Z = SO_2 CF_3$	1.56	0.52	0.58	1.53	0.44	0.44	1.26	0.64	0.46	1.42	0.66	0.53
$z = NO_2$	1.29	0.54	0.27	1.24	0.63	0.17	1.26	0.71	0.22	I	ı	1
a 1 <sub>H</sub> chemical shi	fts for 1	unsubsti	tuted het	erocycle	es (ô va	ilues in	ppm from	i intern	al Me <sub>4</sub> Si	i, solve	nt	

t . Me<sub>2</sub>S0-d<sub>6</sub>) đ

$$X = S, \quad \delta_{2,5} : 7.47 \quad \delta_{3,4} : 7.11 \quad . \quad X = Se, \quad \delta_{2,5} : 8.17 \quad \delta_{3,4} : 7.35$$
$$X = NMe, \quad \delta_{2,5} : 6.67 \quad \delta_{3,4} : 5.96 \quad . \quad X = 0, \quad \delta_{2,5} : 7.66 \quad \delta_{3,4} : 6.48$$

constants can be correlated with the reactivity constants F and R of Swain and Lupton [23]. This accounts for the similarity of the various  $J_{\rm HH}$  coupling constants determined for 2- or 3- nitro and trifluoromethylsulfonyl heterocycles. The substituent effects are additive [15], so that  $J_{35}$  is greater in the 2,4-disubstituted derivatives than in the monosubstituted precursors.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 F. Terrier, F. Millot and J. Morel, J. Org. Chem., 41 (1976) 3892.
- 2 F. Terrier and F. Millot, Nouv. J. Chim., 4 (1980) 255.
- 3 F. Terrier, J. Morel, M.P. Simonnin and M.J. Pouet, Can. J. Chem., 58 (1980) 65.
- 4 D.R. Eaton and W. Sheppard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85 (1963) 1310.
- 5 W. Sheppard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85 (1963) 1314.
- 6 L.M. Yagupolskii and L.N. Yagupolskaya, Proc. Acad. Sci. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 134 (1960) 1207.
- 7 L.M. Yagupolskii, V.F. Bystrov and E.Z. Utyanskaya, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR, 135 (1960) 377.
- 8 R.N. Haszeldine and J.M. Kidd, J. Chem. Soc., (1954) 4228.
- 9 R.D. Howells and J.D. Mc Cown, Chem. Rev., 77 (1977) 69.
- 10 F.G. Bordwell, N.R. Vanier, W.S. Matthews, J.B. Hendrickson and P.L. Skipper, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 7160.
- 11 P. Hurtel, B. Decroix, J. Morel and F. Terrier, J. Chem. Research (S), (1983) 58 and J. Chem. Research (M), (1983) 0725.
- 12 F. Terrier, Chem. Rev., 82 (1982) 77.
- 13 P. Mencarelli, F. Stegel, J. Org. Chem., 41 (1976) 2824.
- 14 J. Morel, C. Paulmier, M. Garreau and G. Martin, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., (1971) 4497.
- 15 M.P. Simonnin, M.J. Pouet, J.M. Cense and C. Paulmier, Org. Magn. Reson., 8 (1976) 508.
- 16 V.N. Boiko, G.M. Shchupak, N.V. Ignat'ev and L.M. Yagupol'skii, Zh. Org. Khim., 15 (1979) 1245.
- 17 N.V. Ignat'ev, V.N. Boiko and L.M. Yagupol'skii, Zh. Org. Khim., <u>16</u> (1980) 1501.
- 18 A. Haas and U. Niemann, Chem. Ber., 109 (1976) 2475.
- 19 D.F. Ewing and R.H. Scrowston, Org. Magn. Reson., 3 (1971) 405.
- 20 S. Gronowitz, I. Johnson and A.B. Hörnfeldt, Chem. Scr., 7 (1975) 111.

```
448
```

- 21 F. Fringuelli, G. Marino, A. Taticchi and G. Grandolini, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 (1974) 332.
- 22 W.B. Smith and T.W. Proulx, Org. Magn. Reson., 8 (1976) 567.
- 23 C.G. Swain and E.C. Lupton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 4328.
- 24 M. Noví, Dell'erba, G. Guanti and D. Spinelli, J. Heterocyclic Chem. <u>12</u> (1975) 327.
- 25 M.P. Simonnin, Paulmier, A.P. Chatrousse and F. Terrier, Tetrahedron Lett. (1973) 1123.
- 26 M.P. Simonnin, M.J. Pouet and C. Paulmier, Tetrahedron Lett. (1973) 4487
- 27 G. Doddi, A. Poretti and F. Stegel, J. Heterocycl. Chem., <u>11</u> (1974) 97.